1. Working group name:

*Operations- Retail Establishment*

1. Individual sponsor(s):

*Riana Durrett, Executive Director, Nevada Dispensary Association*

*Mona Lisa Samuelson, Patient Advocate*

*Wes Henderson, Executive Director, League of Cities*

*Josh Cheney, Deputy Sheriff, Carson City Sheriff's Office*

*Tim Conder, Black Bird Logistics*

*Dr. DiMuro, Nevada State Chief Medical Officer*

1. Describe the recommendation:

*The Operations- Retail Establishment working group recommends that the Nevada Legislature, Department of Taxation, and local governments enact laws and ordinances that would support and facilitate a robust, efficient, and convenient delivery system for adult marijuana consumers, operated by marijuana establishments. Enacting regulations that facilitate a convenient and efficient delivery system supports law enforcement’s efforts and good public policy for the following reasons:*

* *At least one law enforcement agency has indicated that the successful implementation of a delivery system that consumers find to be safe and convenient is critical to the effort to reduce or shut down the illegal unregulated market.*
* *Law enforcement has expressed frustration with illegal and unregulated marijuana delivery services, which consumers often believe are legal, especially because possession of marijuana is now legal in Nevada. However, the illegal and unregulated delivery businesses, which are quite sophisticated and well-organized, are often connected to larger crime rings and violent crime. The more the regulated marijuana businesses are able to provide convenient and cost-effective deliveries to consumers, the more the sales of marijuana can be regulated and sales to minors can be prevented.*
* *In order to allow the regulated retail marijuana market to compete with the unregulated market, dispensaries must be able to offer deliveries that are convenient and competitive with marijuana sold on the unregulated market. The regulations governing deliveries should be adopted from the medical marijuana program and adapted to accommodate any mandates set forth in Question Two.*
* *Another priority that law enforcement has expressed, is their need to identify legal deliveries versus illegal deliveries. In order to accommodate this concern, the permanent regulations should include provisions similar to the medical marijuana program that require trip plans, trip logs, theft reports, verification of age, possession of proof that the person is a registered agent of a marijuana establishment, etc.*

*Finally, deliveries should only be conducted by registered agents of facilities that are licensed with the Department of Taxation and the entity’s local jurisdiction to legally possess more than one ounce of marijuana.*

1. Which guiding principle(s) does this recommendation support?

*Guiding Principle 1 - This recommendation promotes the health, safety, and well-being of Nevada’s communities by allowing legal, tested, and regulated marijuana to be delivered to adults only and allow the regulated market to reduce the unregulated market, which does not sell only to adults.*

*Guiding Principle 2 - This recommendation promotes the guiding principle to be responsive to the needs and issues of consumers, non-consumers, and local governments, and the industry. This recommendation promotes the success of the retail marijuana market to the detriment of the illegal unregulated market, which is a market that does not test its products and does not require age verification.*

1. What provision(s) of Question 2 does this recommendation apply to?

*This recommendation applies to*

1. What issue(s) does the recommendation resolve?

*Not known.*

1. Was there dissent in the group regarding this recommendation? If yes, please provide a summary of the dissenting opinion regarding the recommendation.

*Not known.*

1. What action(s) will be necessary to adopt the recommendation? Will statute, policy, regulations, etc. need to be addressed?

*The Department will need to promulgate regulations pertaining to the retail marijuana program, as mandated by IP1.*

1. Additional information (cost of implementation, priority according to the recommendations,

etc).

 *Not known.*